50
51

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition (S) No.3620 of 2007

Petitioners

1. Malik Ram Sahu, S/o. Jagat Ram Sahu, Aged about 50 years, R/o. Village Bakli, P.O. Rajim, Tehsil Rajim, district Raipur (C.G.)
2. Govindram Dhimar, S/o. Harkhuram dhimar, Aged about 50 years, R/o. Village Arand, P.O. Basin, Tehsil Rajim, District Raipur (C.G.)
3. Chamaruram Rawat, S/o. Late shri Guhan Ram dhimar, Aged about 50 years, R/o. village Bakli, P.O. Rajim, District Raipur (C.G.)
4. Chhotoo Ram Dhimar, S/o. Late shri Guhan Ram dhimar, Aged about 50 years R/o. village Bakli, P.O. Rajim, Tahsil Rajim, District Raipur (C.G.)

Versus

Respondents

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through the Secretary, Public Works Department, Mantralaya, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
2. Engineer-in-Chief, Public Works Department, Raipur (C.G.)
3. Executive Engineer, Public Works Department, Raipur (C.G.)
4. Sub-Divisional Officer, Public Works Department, sub-division Rajim, Distt. Raipur (C.G.)

(Writ Petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India)

Single Bench: Hon'ble Justice Satish K. Agnihotri

Present : Shri R.K. Pali, Advocate for the petitioners.
Smt. Anju Ahuja, Dy. Govt. Advocate for the State.

ORDER
(Passed on 28th June, 2007)

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as Dy. Govt. Advocate appearing for the respondents/State jointly submit that the facts of the present case are similar to that of in W.P.(S) No.2879 of 2007 (Mani Ram Sahu & others Vs. State of Chhattisggarh & others), which was allowed vide order dated 10.05.2007. They further submit that the question of law involved is also the same.

(51)
(52)

2. In view of the above stated submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, the writ petition is allowed in terms of the order dated 10.05.2007 passed by this court in W.P. (S) No.2879 of 2007. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Indu